Construction of Pick-up weir across Mugatihalla in Ajjampur Hobli.

*Q.—518. Sri T. R. PARAMESHWARIAH (Tarikere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that an estimate has been prepared to construct a pick-up weir across Mugatihalla near Begur in Ajjampur Hobli ;

(*b*) if so, the cost of the estimate ;

(*c*) the number of acres of land that come under irrigation?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Rs. 1,50,000.

(*c*) 200 acres.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I beg to lay on the Table a statement regarding grant of land in T. Kumbarahalli, Sidlaghatta Taluk, as promised on the floor of the House while answering starred question No. 290 given notice of by Sri J. Narayanappa on 19th March 1959.

Member's representation

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಎಲ್. ಕಬಾಡಿ (ಇಂಡಿ).—ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್ನಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯು ವಿಚಾರ ವೇನಾಯಿತು?

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—I tried to get information from the Public Service Commission Office. But the office is not in a position to supply the required information for about 15 days more. No sooner I get the information from the Public Service Commission than I will place all the facts before this House.

Sri J. L. KABADI.—Will the question be held over ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Yes.

Business of the House.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—Two days back we have been supplied with a tentative programme of legislative business. I find from that that two items are not there. One is, previously it was put down that the Public Accounts Committee Report would be made available for discussion. It was also promised here that the Estimates Committee Report would also be made available for discussion. Now, neither of these reports is sought to be brought before this House for discussion, at any rate during the current session. I would like to know what has happened and whether the Leader of the House thinks not advisable to put it for discussion. The second difficulty is not certain new Bills have been included but crtain Bills which were introduced and discussed in the previous session in the Legislative Council do not find a place here. Under our rules those Bills which are already discussed in the Assembly will have to be reintroduced because they would lapse. So, under these circumstances, from the point of view of economy and form the point of view of saving time, it is necessary that the Government should bring forward those Bills which were introduced and discussed in the Council. They are Bills of very important nature which confer social justice upon a vast section of the people. I do not know why this policy is being pursued and why Legislative business is being held up like this. I want a clear enunciation from the Government.

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—The Bills which have not been taken up will find a place in the later session. Such Bills which are necessary for the present and which have been sent to the Select Committee will be finished by the end of this session.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—In the last session of the Legislative Council the Maternity Benefit Bill was introduced. It should have also found a place in this session. Unfortunately what I find is that the Bills that have not been sent to the Select Committee are put down for discussion.